

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/728,956	12/08/2003	Norikazu Niimi	118002	9053
25944 759	11/1//2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BER P.O. BOX 1992			MAI, NGOCLAN THI	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
·			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	W			
		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/728,956	NIIMI, NORIKAZU			
omec Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication on	Ngoclan T. Mai	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	imely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
l <u>—</u>	—· s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8-10 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	arriller. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		te atent Application (PTO-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite because while claim 1 recites a method of producing a sintered body, there is no positive active method step in the claims. Note that a proper method claim must have at least one positive active step recited.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP06107456.

JP06107456 disclosed the claimed sintered body formed by calcining a powder mixture of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, pulverizing the calcined mixed powder, molding the pulverized mixed powder and hating the molded product in nitrogen atmosphere. While

the sintered body is not formed by employing aluminum nitride as sintering aid, there is no apparent distinction between the claimed sintered body and that of JP06107456. Note that it has been established that a product by process claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Kirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985), which makes it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a product by process claim and not the patentability of the process. An old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in a product by process claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such case.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chia et al.

Chia et al. disclose employing nitrogen containing aluminum compounds such as aluminum nitride for use as sintering aid for forming SiC body. See col. 4, lines 12-25.

While Chia et al do not teach aluminum nitride used for producing a sintered body of yttrium aluminum garnet, the claimed intended use of the claimed alloy does not lend patentability to the alloy. A mere statement of a new use for an otherwise old or obvious composition cannot render a claim to the composition patentable. See <a href="In re Lemin">In re Lemin</a>, 51

CCPA 942, 326 F.2d 437, 140 USPQ 273 (1964), <a href="In re Thuau">In re Thuau</a> 135 F.2d 344, 57 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1943), <a href="Ex parte Douros">Ex parte Douros</a> 163 USPQ 667 (POBA), and <a href="In re Craige">In re Craige</a>, 188 F.2d 505, 89 USPQ 393 (CCPA 1951). Furthermore, the claimed properties are mere material properties which would have been inherently possessed by the materials of cited references. See <a href="In re Best">In re Best</a>, 195 USPQ 430 and MPEP § 2112.01.

6. Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

- 7. Claims 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Chia et al teach forming silicon carbide bodies employing rare earth oxide and nitrogen containing compound such as aluminum nitride as sintering aids.

Miyahara discloses employing compounds of group IIa, IIIa and IIIB metals of the periodic table and preferably comprising predominantly Y<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as sintering additive for forming aluminum nitride sintered body, see abstract.

Nakahara et al teach forming ceramic member comprising at least 10% by volume of a compound of yttrium aluminum garnet and not more than 90% by volume of at least an oxide phase selected from aluminum oxide, yttrium oxide and aluminum nitride. There is no teaching or suggest that aluminum nitride or the use aluminum nitride in an amount that acts as a sintering aid.

There is no teaching or it is obvious to make sintered yttrium aluminum garnet by employing aluminum nitride as a sintering aid

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ngoclan T. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-1246. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 PM Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ngoclan Han Ngoclan T. Mai Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742

n.m.